Simplicity is Complicated: On the Balance of Performance and Knobs

Changkun Ou LMU Munich

IDC 2019 Autumn Vienna, Austria Oct. 9, 2019 Changkun Ou (欧长坤)
 Tschang-Kwën Ou
 / fşʰaŋkuən ɤư /



https://changkun.de

- PhD student / Prof. Butz
- Expertise: Web Techs , Machine Learning, Distributed Systems

- Contributor of Tensorflow, Go, etcd, redis, ... (100k+ ****** projects)
- Author of C++ and Go books (6k+ projects)
- Many many other open source contributions...

Mesh simplification (MS)

Mesh simplification (MS)

MS is largely applied

Mesh simplification (MS)

MS is largely applied

MS has been "solved" 20 years ago [Hoppe'96] [Garland'97]



| Alpha Complex/Shape Build a Polyline with NonFaux Edges CSG Operation | |
|---|---|
| Close Holes | |
| Crease Marking with NonFaux Edges | |
| Curvature flipping ontimization | |
| Cut mesh along crease edges | |
| Delaunay Triangulation | |
| Generate Scalar Harmonic Field | |
| Iso Parametrization Build Atlased Mesh | |
| Iso Parametrization Remeshing | |
| Iso Parametrization transfer between meshes | |
| Iso Parametrization: Main | |
| Marching Cubes (APSS) | |
| Marching Cubes (RIMLS) | |
| Mesh aging and chipping simulation | |
| Planar flipping optimization | |
| Points Cloud Movement | |
| Refine User-Defined | |
| Simplfication: MC Edge Collapse | |
| Simplification: Clustering Decimation | |
| Simplification: Quadric Edge Collapse Decimation | |
| Simplification: Quadric Edge Collapse Decimation (v | v |
| Subdivision Surfaces: Butterfly Subdivision | |
| Subdivision Surfaces: Catmull-Clark | |
| Subdivision Surfaces: LS3 Loop | |
| Subdivision Surfaces: Loop | |
| Subdivision Surfaces: Midpoint | |
| Surface Reconstruction: Ball Pivoting | |
| Surface Reconstruction: VCG | l |
| Tri to Quad by 4-8 Subdivision | |
| Tri to Quad by smart triangle pairing | |
| Turn into Quad-Dominant mesh | |
| Turn into a Pure-Triangular mesh | |
| Uniform Mesh Resampling | |
| Vertex Attribute Seam | |
| Voronoi Filtering | |
| Screened Poisson Surface Reconstruction | |

MeshLab

| | | 🧶 🔿 🖉 🧔 🖉 Simplification: Quadr | ic Edge Collapse Decimation | |
|------|-------------|--|--|-----------|
| | | Simplify a mesh using a Qua Strategy; better than cluster | dric based Edge Collapse ing but slower | |
| | | Target number of faces | 435653 | |
| | | Percentage reduction (01 |) 0 | |
| | | Quality threshold | 0.3 | |
| | | Preserve Boundary of t | he mesh | |
| | | Boundary Preserving Weig | ht 1 | |
| | | Preserve Normal | | |
| | | Preserve Topology | | |
| | | Optimal position of simplification | olified vertices | |
| | | Weighted Simplification | | |
| | | Post-simplification clea | ning | |
| | | Simplify only selected f | aces | |
| | | Default | Help | |
| 1 | (with text) | Close | Apply | |
| | | K | | Blender |
| | 8~ | 🔲 door | | Ŕ |
| l | 4¥ | Add Modifier | | |
| l | ĉi | ▼ 🗹 Decimate | | |
| 1 | - | Apply | | Сору |
| | | Collapse | Un-Subdivide | Planar |
| | | Ratio: | | 1.0000 |
| | 16 | • • • | ↔ Factor: | 1.0000 |
| | S | Face Count: 7,936 | 🚺 Trian | ngulate |
| - 18 | | | | |
| | | | Sym | metry X ~ |

| • | | | Reduce Options | |
|------|----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Edit | Help | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | 🖌 Keep orig | nal | |
| • | Reduction Method | | | |
| | Reduction method | Percentage | | |
| | Percentage | 48.4337 | | |
| | | | | |
| | Preserve quads | 0.9807 | | |
| | Sharpness | 0.0169 | | |
| | Symmetry type | Plane | | |
| | Symmetry tolerance | 0.0100 | | |
| | | V7 | | |
| | Symmetry plane | X2 Y | | |
| - | Feature Preservation | Note: set pre | serve quads to < 1.0 to use symmetry | |
| | Mesh borders | ✓ 0 5012 | | 1 |
| | | 4 0.5012 | | |
| | UV borders | ♥ 0.5000 | | |
| | Color borders | ✓ 0.5000 | | |
| | Material borders | ✓ 0.5000 | | 1 |
| | Hard edges | ✓ 0.5000 | | • |
| | Crease edges | ✓ 0.5000 | | |
| - | Advanced Options | | | |
| | Vertex index map | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Reduce | | Apply | Close |

Autodesk Maya

Naïve MS

2-Manifold locally resembles 2D Euclidean space.

```
// Polyreduce reduces number of polygons
// while preserving local topologies.
func Polyreduce(m *Mesh, c *Criteria) {
    for !m.Eval(c) {
        local := m.Pick()
        local.Simplify()
    }
}
```

Issues:

Non-scalable (serialized process)
 NP-hard computation
 ...

1. Reduction speed \rightarrow **Computation complexity**



- 1. Reduction speed \rightarrow **Computation complexity**
- 2. Expert preference \rightarrow **Reduction quality**
- 3. Manual intervention \rightarrow **Automation level**



Can you tell the difference?

- 1. Reduction speed \rightarrow **Computation complexity**
- 2. Expert preference \rightarrow **Reduction quality**
- 3. Manual intervention \rightarrow **Automation level**



Can you tell the difference?

The Ultimate MS Program

| The Ultimate MS Program | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Target #Poly: | | | | |
| | Run! | | | |

The Ultimate MS Program



1. Reduction speed \rightarrow Computation complexity

Solution: Thread multiplexing 🔌 🔌 核

- 2. Expert preference \rightarrow Reduction quality
- 3. Manual intervention \rightarrow Automation level

Solution: Hyperparameter reduction & Imitation learning 😎 🤓 🥵

Computation Complexity: From Parallelism to Concurrency

Concurrent MS

```
// Polyreduce reduces number of polygons
// while preserving local topologies.
func Polyreduce(m *Mesh, c *Criteria) {
```

```
for !m.Eval(c) {
            local := m.Pick()
            local.Simplify()
                                  Inspiration
// SGD implements mini-batch
// stochastic gradient descent.
func SGD(m *Model, d *Dataset) {
      for !m.Converge() {
            miniB:= d.Batch()
            m.GradientDescent(miniB)
}
```

Concurrent MS

// Polyreduce reduces number of polygons
// while preserving local topologies.
func Polyreduce(m *Mesh, c *Criteria) {



```
func Polyreduce(m *Mesh, c *Criteria) {
     // SPEEDUP1: build workQ concurrently
     for local := m.Pick(); local != nil; {
           sched.Submit(func() {
                 quality, ok := local.Eval(c)
                 if ok {
                       workQ.Push(quality, local)
           })
           local = m.Pick()
     sched.Wait()
                       // sync barrier
     // SPEEDUP2: run workQ concurrently
     for w := workQ.Pop(); w != nil; {
           sched.Submit(w.Simplify)
           w = workQ.Pop()
     sched.Wait()
                    // sync barrier
var sched Sched // M:N work-steal scheduling
func (s *Sched) Submit(f func()) { ... }
```

Thread Multiplexing: Work-steal scheduling



We are not even close



We are not even close



"MS is a solved problem!"

Quality & Automation: From Fully Automatic to Semi Automatic

$\sum_{model} cost(model, method) = const.$

T = [MS + DT(p)] * A(p)

If p1 > p2 :

T1 - T2 = MS * [A(p1) - A(p2)] + [DT(p1) * A(p1) - DT(p2) * A(p2)]

T = [MS + DT(p)] * A(p)

If
$$p1 > p2$$
:
T1 - T2 = MS * [A(p1) - A(p2)] + [DT(p1) * A(p1) - DT(p2) * A(p2)]
> MS * [A(p1) - A(p2)] + [DT(p2) * A(p1) - DT(p2) * A(p2)] Hick's Law

T = [MS + DT(p)] * A(p)

If
$$p1 > p2$$
:
T1 - T2 = MS * [A(p1) - A(p2)] + [DT(p1) * A(p1) - DT(p2) * A(p2)]
> MS * [A(p1) - A(p2)] + [DT(p2) * A(p1) - DT(p2) * A(p2)]
= [MS + DT(p2)] * [A(p1) - A(p2)]
 \Rightarrow Reduce attempts

```
ConcurrentMS
type PolyReduce interface {
    Upload(m *Model) (OpID string)
    Upload(OpID string, c *Config)
    Run(OpID string)
                                    // 1m #poly \approx 1 min \rightarrow 1 model
    Download(OpID string) (m *Model)
                                                                Available, but untested
type ProPolyReduce interface {
    Upload(m *Model) (SessID string)
    Run(SessID string) (OpIDs []OpID) // 1m #poly \approx 2 min \rightarrow 4 models
    Eval(OpIDs []OpID, Scores []int)
    Download(OpID string) (m *Model)
```

Automation, Speed, Quality Tradeoff



Automation, Speed, Quality Tradeoff



Automation, Speed, Quality Tradeoff





Bernhard Riemann (1826-1866)

Simplicity is Complicated: On the Balance of Performance and Knobs

Changkun Ou LMU Munich

IDC 2019 Autumn Vienna, Austria Oct. 9, 2019

"The performance improvement does not materialize from the air, it comes with code complexity increase."



Why MS is a well-studied problem to you? Or, why it isn't?

When did you start thinking about using MS? What are your expectations from MS? Why? How did you evaluate MS outcomes in your 3D projects?

What are principles qualifying 3D Artists v.s. Non-3D Artists? Is it quantitative measurable? Why?

When did industrial MS fail to your case? Why?

What is your maximum tolerance of X to MS? Why? where X = speed, features preservation, and ...

How could human solve retopology so incredible? What did we miss in MS?

Any thing in mind?

Random